

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,459	06/25/2003	Ulrich Reichstein	REICHSTEIN	3275
20151 7	590 09/12/2005	•	EXAMINER	
HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC 350 FIFTH AVENUE			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W	
SUITE 4714	LIVOL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10118		1722	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/603,459	REICHSTEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tim Heitbrink	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	<u>1ly 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	_ ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	-					
1)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No support can be found for the term "internal" in the original specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The added limitation of "internal" renders the claims indefinite since it is unclear in what relation the machine control and electric components are internal, internal to the machine, internal to a room, etc.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1722

۲,

Claims 1,3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Latham (US Patent 6,186,760).

Latham discloses a machine for making molded articles having a plurality of electric components connected through a wireless communication to the machine control, wherein the machine control and the electric components have means to transmit and receive radio waves, i.e. electromagnetic waves.

See Latham column 3, line 55 through column 4 line 48.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Krause et al. (DE 19909307) or Meschia (EP 1128244) or DE20204359 Utility (Abstract).

Each of the references disclose a machine for making molded articles having a plurality of electric components connected through a wireless communication to the machine control, wherein the machine control and the electric components have means to transmit and receive radio waves, i.e. electromagnetic waves.

See Fig. 1 of Krause et al., Fig. 4 of Meschia and Fig. 1 of DE20204359 Utility.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Latham (US Patent 6,186,760), Krause et al. (DE 19909307) or Meschia (EP 1128244)

Art Unit: 1722

or DE20204359 Utility (Abstract) as applied to claims 1,3,4 above, and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

While the above references do not disclose Bluetooth technology as the wireless communication used, Applicant discloses such technology as being conventional and the standard for wireless technology. See paragraph 9 of the specification.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Bluetooth technology as the wireless communication used since it is conventional and the standard for wireless technology as set forth by Applicant.

Applicant's arguments filed 7-5-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the references do not disclose machine controls and electric components which are located internal. The Examiner disagrees. The machine controls and electric components of each of the references are either internal to the machine itself or in the very least internal to the room in which they are located.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1722

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Sim Neabrand Tim Heitbrink Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722

9-8-05

twh

Art Unit: 1722

Page 6